

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,406	02/14/2002		Noyan Kinayman	17795	1735
7	590	04/18/2003			
DUANE MO	RRIS LLI		EXAMINER		
Suite 100 100 College Ro			ROSE, KIESHA L		
Princeton, NJ 08540				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2822	
				DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·	/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/075,406	KINAYMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 F	<u>ebruary 2003</u> .						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4. 		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the election filed 21 February 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 26-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method of making a semiconductor device, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-25 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the signal wires with a first contact and a second contact on the peripheral, ground plane structure located on opposite sides of the second contact, the intermediate layer formed between the bottom and substrate, a third ground plane portion and ground openings around a signal wire must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 2822

Page 3

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because it is not clearly shown what or where the signal wires or ground planes are nor does it clearly label what is the signal wire, ground plane or FEP layer (19). The dark black lines in Fig. 1 formed through the substrate (8/19) are not clearly labeled so to not clearly describe if the top layer is the signal line or the ground plane or if the solid black lines are the FEP layer. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

Fig. 1, #'s 25 and 26

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 2822

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1-25 disclose a substrate with signal wires with a first contact connected to a terminal of an integrated circuit and a second contact formed on the periphery of the substrate, where the substrate has a ground plane structure located on opposite sides of the second contact, it is unclear what is considered the first and second contacts in the cross section structure (fig. 1) and is not clearly described in the specification nor the drawings therefore would further make it unclear how a ground plane structure is formed on the opposite side of the second contact. In addition it is unclear what is considered the ground plane verse the signal wires. As claimed the ground plane has a third ground plane portion on a third side of the second contact. It is unclear what is considered the third ground plane portion and in regards to the third side of the second contact it was never described what the first and second sides where so it is hard to determined what the third side would be even if it was clearly described what/where the second contact was on the signal wires. In regards to claim 5, it is unclear how the third ground plane portions are continuously connected, are they continuously connected to each other in the same row or continuously connected on another level of the substrate? In regards to claim 9, it is unclear what is considered the intermediate layer between the substrate and bottom

Application/Control Number: 10/075,406 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

layer and with not knowing that one cannot determine ground planes in the intermediate layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 20-21, as far as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Selna (U.S. Patent 5,640,048).

Selna discloses an integrated circuit (Fig. 3) that contains a substrate with a plurality of signal wires (200/260) having a first contact (8a) adapted to be connected to a respective terminal of an integrated circuit (12) and a second contact on a periphery of the substrate, ground plane structures (8b), a plurality of ground vias (6a), a cover (16) above the substrate and a bottom layer (18) of the package formed of a dielectric material.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 703-605-4212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 1st Friday.

Art Unit: 2822

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

April 9, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800